

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCUnited States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. PH99012GL 9522 02/15/2002 David Alan Roberts 09/890,384 **EXAMINER** 06/03/2004 23416 7590 CONNOLLY BOVE LODGE & HUTZ, LLP PRYOR, ALTON NATHANIEL P O BOX 2207 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER WILMINGTON, DE 19899 1616

DATE MAILED: 06/03/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Office Action Summary | | Application | on No. | Applicant(s) |
|---|--|-------------|---|----------------|
| | | 09/890,38 | 34 | ROBERTS ET AL. |
| | | Examiner | | Art Unit |
| | | Alton N. P | ryor | 1616 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | |
| Status | | | | |
| 1) | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 04 March 2004. | | | |
| • • • • • | This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | |
| 5) [6) [7) [| 4) Claim(s) 23-42 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 23-26 and 34-42 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 27-33 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | |
| Priority u | nder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | |
| 2) Notice 3) Inform | (s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB ' No(s)/Mail Date | | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other: | |

Application/Control Number: 09/890,384

Art Unit: 1616

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 23-25,34,35,37,39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ensminger et al (US 5395816; 3/7/95). Ensminger teaches a method of controlling weed growth at the locus (crop) comprising applying an encapsulated delay release composition comprising an isoxazole herbicide into the surface of a medium (soil). See abstract, Table 1, column 16 line 1 – column 19 line 6.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 26,36,38,40-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ensminger as applied to claims 23-25,34,35,37,39 above. See USC 102(b) above. Ensminger teaches all that is recited in claims 26,36,38,40-42 except for the instant particle size of the encapsulated composition. One having ordinary skill in the art would have been expected to determine the optimum particle

Application/Control Number: 09/890,384

Art Unit: 1616

size, number of applications, and deposit depth. One would have been motivated to do this in order to make an invention that is effective in controlling weeds.

Claim Objection

Claims 27-33 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The prior art does not teach or suggest the encapsulation of the isoxazole herbicide in these claims.

Telephonic Inquiry

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alton N. Pryor whose telephone number is 571-272-0621. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 a.m. - 4:30 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman Page can be reached on 571-272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/890,384

Art Unit: 1616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Page 4

Primary Examienr

AU 1616